# Link Performance Bounds of MIMO Transmit Beamforming Systems Operating over Generalized Fading Channels

Natalia Y. Ermolova Member, IEEE, and Olav Tirkkonen, Member, IEEE

*Abstract*—In this letter, we prove that link performance bounds of multiple-input multiple-output transmit beamforming systems employing maximal ratio combining at the receiver and operating over arbitrary ergodic fading channels can be obtained by analyzing receiver diversity systems operating over specially constructed virtual radio channels.

*Index Terms*—Generalized fading distributions, maximal ratio combining, MIMO systems, transmit beamforming.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

ULTIPLE-input multiple-output (MIMO) transmission systems employing multiple antennas at both sides of the transceiver are able to provide both significant diversity and multiplexing gains under proper signal-processing strategies. If channel state information (in the form of a MIMO channel matrix H) is known at the transmitter, the available power can be split among the transmitting antennas appropriately in order to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the receiver. This method is known as transmit beamforming (TB). If maximal ratio combining (MRC) is applied at the receiver then the effective SNR in the TB MIMO system is proportional to the maximal eigenvalue of the Gramian matrix  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{H}$ [1], which reduces to the Wichart matrix [2] if the elements of H are proper Gaussian. The exact eigenvalue distribution of **HH**<sup>H</sup> has been reported only for the Rayleigh and Rician fading models (see, for example, [1], [3]- [4]), and techniques for link performance evaluation have been presented just for these fading scenarios, for example, [3]- [4]. In practice, fading models different from Rayleigh and Rice distributions show often better fits to experimental data [5]- [6].

In this letter, we overcome the uncertainty caused by the unknown eigenvalue distribution of  $\mathbf{HH}^{H}$  and show that bounds on the statistical distribution of the maximal eigenvalue can be obtained by utilizing only the multivariate fading distributions of the diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{W}$ . Based on this fact we derive bounds on the outage probability, ergodic capacity, and average error rates for the TB MIMO MRC systems operating over arbitrary ergodic radio channels.

# II. BOUNDS ON THE OUTAGE PROBABILITY, AVERAGE ERROR RATE, AND ERGODIC CAPACITY

# A. Preliminaries

We consider the TB MIMO MRC system with  $N_{\rm T}$  transmitting and  $N_{\rm R}$  receiving antennas operating over the arbitrary ergodic radio channel characterized by the  $N_{\rm R} \times N_{\rm T}$  matrix **H**. It was proven in [1] that the maximal SNR  $\gamma_{\rm eff}$  achievable in the system, is proportional to the maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ of the matrix  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{\rm H}$ , that is

$$\gamma_{\rm eff} = \bar{\gamma} \lambda_1 \tag{1}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the transmitted SNR. The transmit precoding vector providing  $\gamma_{\text{eff}}$  is the unit eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_1$  [1].

The *m* th diagonal element of  $\mathbf{W}$ ,  $w_m$ , is expressed as

$$w_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm T}} |H_{m,i}|^2, \qquad m = 1, \cdots, N_{\rm R}$$
 (2)

where  $H_{m,i}$  denotes an element of the matrix **H**.

## B. Link Performance Bounds

Let  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_K$  and  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \ldots \geq w_K$  $(K = N_{\rm R})$  be the respective ordered eigenvalues and diagonal elements of **W**, and  $F_{\gamma_{\rm eff}}(x) = P_{\rm out}(x) = \Pr\{\gamma_{\rm eff} \leq x\}$ ,  $P_{\rm aver} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_{\rm err} (\gamma_{\rm eff}(t)) dt$ , and  $C_{\rm erg} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{B}{T} \int_0^T \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{\rm eff}(t)) dt$  be the respective cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the effective SNR, outage probability (OP), average error rate, and ergodic capacity of the analyzed MIMO channel with the bandwidth B. Then the following proposition is valid.

*Proposition*: If the TB MIMO MRC system operates over the arbitrary ergodic radio channel represented by the matrix **H**, the effective SNR, outage probability, average error rate, and ergodic capacity are always bounded by the corresponding metrics of receiver diversity systems employing the selection combining (SC) and MRC methods, and operating over a virtual radio channel with the power gains  $w_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N_{\rm R}$ , defined by (2), that is

$$\gamma_{\rm SC} \leq \gamma_{\rm eff} \leq \gamma_{\rm MRC};$$

$$F_{\rm MRC}(x) \leq P_{\rm out}(x) = F_{\gamma_{\rm eff}}(x) \leq F_{\rm SC}(x);$$

$$P_{\rm aver_{\rm MRC}} \leq P_{\rm aver} \leq P_{\rm aver_{\rm SC}};$$

$$C_{\rm SC} \leq C_{\rm erg} \leq C_{\rm MRC}.$$
(3)

where  $\gamma_{SC(MRC)}, F_{SC(MRC)}(x) = P_{out_{SC(MRC)}}(x),$  $P_{aver_{SC(MRC)}}$ , and  $C_{SC(MRC)}$  are the respective effective

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland, grant no. 254299. The authors are with the Department of Communications and Networking, Aalto University, FI-00076, Aalto, Finland (email:{natalia.ermolova,olav.tirkkonen}@aalto.fi)

SNRs, CDFs of the effective SNRs, OPs, average error rates, and capacities of the above virtual radio channel under SC and MRC at the receiver.

*Proof*: The Horn theorem [7] states that the vector  $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K]^{\mathrm{T}}$  majorizes the vector  $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_K]^{\mathrm{T}}, \lambda \succ \mathbf{w}$ , that is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \lambda_m \ge \sum_{m=1}^{k} w_m, \qquad 1 \le k \le K - 1,$$
$$\sum_{m=1}^{K} \lambda_m = \sum_{m=1}^{K} w_m. \tag{4}$$

All eigenvalues of **W** are positive since **W** is Hermitian and positive definite [8]. Thus we obtain from (4) upper and lower bounds on  $\lambda_1$ :

$$w_1 \le \lambda_1 \le \sum_{m=1}^K w_m.$$
(5)

On the left-hand and right-hand sides of (5) we observe the respective effective SNRs of the SC and MRC receiver diversity systems operating over the virtual radio channel with the channel power gains  $w_m$ . Then, due to the monotonicity of the functions involved into the evaluation of the error rates and channel capacity, (3) immediately follows from (5).

### III. EVALUATION OF BOUNDS (3)

The evaluation of (3) requires only a knowledge about the statistical distribution of diagonal elements of the matrix **W**, and a concrete way of the evaluation depends on the concrete fading scenario. In Table I, a few examples of generalized fading distributions of random variables (RVs)  $|H_{m,i}|^2$ are given. Scenarios with independent identically distributed (i.i.d.), independent non-identically distributed (i.n.d.), and correlated channel power gains are presented. For each case, we display statistical distributions of  $w_m$  and  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\rm R}} w_m$ , as well as we give references analyzing MRC and SC techniques over the corresponding virtual radio channels. E[.] in Table I denotes the expectation.

We give below two examples of evaluation of (3).

# A. Independent and Identically Distributed Generalized Gamma Branches

Let  $|H_{m,i}|^2$  follow the generalized gamma (GG) distribution, that is for each  $m = 1, ..., N_R$  and  $i = 1, ..., N_T$ , the probability density function (PDF)  $f_{|H_{m,i}|^2}(x)$  is expressed as

$$f_{|H_{m,i}|^2}(x) = \frac{\alpha x^{\alpha \mu/2-1}}{2\theta^{\mu} \Gamma(\mu)} \exp\left(-\frac{x^{\alpha/2}}{\theta}\right)$$
(6)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\theta$  are the distribution parameters [6], [19]– [22], and  $\Gamma(.)$  is the gamma function. This case is given in Table I. By approximating the sum of GG RVs by a single GG RV [19], we find from [19, eq. (22)–(25)] the parameters  $\alpha_{low}$ ,  $\mu_{low}$ , and  $\theta_{low}$  of the GG distribution representing approximately  $w_m$ ,  $m = 1, \ldots, K$ , as well as the parameters  $\alpha_{up}$ ,  $\mu_{up}$ , and  $\theta_{up}$  of the GG distribution modeling approximately  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\rm R}} w_m$ . Then we immediately obtain from (3), (6), and [11] bounds on the OP:

$$P_{\rm out_{SC}}(\gamma_0) \approx \left[ \frac{\gamma \left( \mu_{\rm low}, (\gamma_0/\bar{\gamma})^{\alpha_{\rm low}/2}/\theta_{\rm low} \right)}{\Gamma(\mu_{\rm low})} \right]^K,$$
  
$$P_{\rm out_{MRC}}(\gamma_0) \approx \frac{\gamma \left( \mu_{\rm up}, (\gamma_0/\bar{\gamma})^{\alpha_{\rm up}/2}/\theta_{\rm up} \right)}{\Gamma(\mu_{\rm up})}$$
(7)

where  $\gamma(a,t) = \int_0^t x^{a-1} \exp(-x) dx$  is an incomplete gamma function.

 $P_{\text{aver}_{\text{MRC}}}$  and  $C_{\text{MRC}}$  can be evaluated via [20] and [22] respectively. Analytical results on the ergodic GG channel capacity and error rates under SC available nowadays (e.g. [20]–[22]), are valid only for integer values of  $\mu_{\text{low}}$ , and it is rather unlikely that the approximation method [19] generally results in integer values of this fading parameter. Thus  $C_{\text{SC}}$  and  $P_{\text{aver}_{\text{SC}}}$  can be evaluated numerically, and special techniques allowing to avoid evaluations of infinite-range integrals can be applied. For example, in many practical scenarios, the bit–error probability (BER) conditioned to the SNR  $\gamma$  is expressed in terms of the Gaussian Q-function,  $P_{\text{b}}(\gamma) = a_{\text{M}}Q(\sqrt{b_{\text{M}}\gamma})$ , where  $a_{\text{M}}$  and  $b_{\text{M}}$  are parameters defined by the modulation-detection combination [23]. Thus the average BER,  $P_{\text{bsc}}$ , is expressed as

$$P_{\rm b_{SC}} = \int_0^\infty P_{\rm b}(x) f_{\rm SC}(x) dx$$
$$= \frac{a_{\rm M} \sqrt{b_{\rm M}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-b_{\rm M} x/2} x^{-1/2} F_{\rm SC}(x) dx \tag{8}$$

where  $f_{SC}(x)$  is the PDF of the effective SNR under SC. The structure of the second integral in (8) allows to apply the Gauss-Laguerre quadrature (GLQ) rule [24], that is

$$P_{\rm bsc} \approx \frac{a_{\rm M}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i x_i^{-1/2} F_{\rm SC} \left(\frac{2x_i}{b_{\rm M}}\right) \tag{9}$$

where  $x_i$  is the *i*-th root of the Laguerre polynomial  $L_n(x)$ , and weights  $q_i = \frac{x_i}{(n+1)^2 [L_{n+1}(x_i)]^2}$  [24]. Analytical results can be also reported for  $C_{\rm SC}$  under low

Analytical results can be also reported for  $C_{\rm SC}$  under low SNR. At low SNR,  $\ln(1+x) \approx x$ , and

$$C_{\rm SC_{lowSNR}} \approx B \cdot \frac{K}{\ln 2(\bar{\gamma}^{\alpha_{\rm low}/2}\theta_{\rm low})^{\mu_{\rm low}} \left[\Gamma(\mu_{\rm low})\right]^{K}} \\ \times \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\mu_{\rm low} + \frac{2}{\alpha_{\rm low}} - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\bar{\gamma}^{\alpha_{\rm low}/2}\theta_{\rm low}}\right) \\ \times \left[\gamma\left(\mu_{\rm low}, \frac{t}{\bar{\gamma}^{\alpha_{\rm low}/2}\theta_{\rm low}}\right)\right]^{K-1} dt \\ = B \cdot \frac{K\bar{\gamma}\theta_{\rm low}^{2/\alpha_{\rm low}}\Gamma\left(\mu_{\rm low}K + \frac{2}{\alpha_{\rm low}}\right)}{\ln 2\left[\Gamma(\mu_{\rm low}+1)\right]^{K}\mu_{\rm low}} \\ \times F_{A}^{(K-1)}\left(\mu_{\rm low}K + \frac{2}{\alpha_{\rm low}}, \mu_{\rm low}, \cdots, \mu_{\rm low}; \\ \mu_{\rm low} + 1, \cdots, \mu_{\rm low} + 1; -1, \cdots, -1\right)$$
(10)

where  $F_A^{(K-1)}(.)$  is a Lauricella hypergeometric function [25, vol. 3, eq. 7.2.4.54], and the representation of  $C_{\text{SC}_{\text{lowSNR}}}$  in terms of  $F_A^{(K-1)}(.)$  is obtained on the basis of [25, vol. 4, eq. 3.35.7.4]. The structure of the integrand in (10) also allows

TABLE I STATISTICS OF  $w_m$  and  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\rm R}} w_m$  for some generalized fading scenarios.

| $ H_{m,i} ^2;$                       | $w_m, m = 1, \ldots, N_{\mathrm{R}};$  | $\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\mathrm{R}}} w_m;$  | Analysis of MRC,       | Analysis of SC,   |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|-------------------|
| parameters                           | parameters   | parameters  | references             | references        |
| of distribution                      | of distribution  | of distribution   |                        |                   |
| i.i.d. $\eta - \mu$ RVs [5];         | i.i.d. $\eta - \mu$ RVs [5];   | $\eta - \mu$ RV [5];  | [9];                   | [11]              |
| $\{\eta; \mu; E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$      | $\{\eta; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mu; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$              | $\{\eta; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot N_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot \mu; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot N_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$   | for integer $\mu$ [10] |                   |
| i.n.d. $\eta - \mu$ RVs [5];         | Sum of i.n.d. gamma RVs;   | Sum of i.n.d. gamma RVs;  | [12], [13]             | [11]              |
| $\{\eta; \mu; E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$      | distribution is given in [12].   | distribution is given in [12].  |                        |                   |
|                                      | Each $w_m$ can be approximated   | Can be approximated   |                        |                   |
|                                      | by a single gamma RV [14]  | by a single gamma RV [14]   | [15]                   | [15]              |
| correlated $\eta - \mu$ RVs [5];     | Statistically equivalent to  | Statistically equivalent to   | [16]                   | [17]– [18]        |
| $\{\eta; \mu; E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$ with | sum of i.n.d. gamma RVs with   | sum of i.n.d. gamma RVs with  |                        |                   |
| integer or half-integer $\mu$        | distribution given in [16]   | distribution given in [16]  |                        |                   |
| i.i.d. $\kappa - \mu$ RVs [5];       | i.i.d. $\kappa - \mu$ RVs [5];   | $\kappa - \mu$ RV [5];  | [9]                    | [11]              |
| $\{\kappa; \mu; E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$    | $\left\{\kappa; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mu; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\right\}$ | $\{\kappa; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot N_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot \mu; N_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot N_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot E[ H_{m,i} ^2]\}$ |                        |                   |
| i.i.d. generalized                   | Can be approximated by i.i.d.  | Can be approximated by  | [20], [22]             | [11];             |
| gamma RVs [6], [19]- [22];           | generalized gamma RVs [19]   | a generalized gamma RV [19]   |                        | for integer $\mu$ |
| $\{lpha;\mu;	heta\}$                 |  |   |                        | [20]– [22]        |

using the GLQ rule [24] for the approximate evaluation of the integral. For a particular case of two received antennas, (10) reduces to

$$C_{\rm SC_{lowSNR}} \approx \frac{2B\bar{\gamma}\theta_{\rm low}^{2/\alpha_{\rm low}}\Gamma\left(2\mu_{\rm low} + \frac{2}{\alpha_{\rm low}}\right)}{\ln 2\left[\Gamma(\mu_{\rm low} + 1)\right]^2\mu_{\rm low}} \times {}_2F_1\left(2\mu_{\rm low} + \frac{2}{\alpha_{\rm low}}, \mu_{\rm low}; \mu_{\rm low} + 1; -1\right)$$
(11)

where  $_2F_1(.)$  is the Gauss hypergeometric function [25, vol. 3].

### B. Correlated Improper Normally Distributed Branches

Let  $H_{m,i}$  be identically distributed zero-mean Gaussian RVs with independent real and imaginary components having different respective variances  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ . We consider the case of constant correlation between the received antennas represented by a correlation coefficient  $\rho$  [17]. Then it is seen from (2) that  $w_m$  are correlated  $\eta - \mu$ -distributed RVs with  $\mu = N_T/2$  and  $\eta = \sigma_X^2/\sigma_Y^2$  [5]. This is a scenario presented in Table I. Since  $\mu$  is either a half-integer or integer, the decomposition [16, eq. (8)–(12)] is valid, and  $P_{\text{aver}_{\text{MRC}}}$  is evaluated on the basis of the method given in [16]. The PDF  $f_{\text{MRC}}(x)$  and CDF  $F_{\text{MRC}}(x)$  are given in [13].

The analysis of SC is done on the basis of the multivariate distribution of  $w_m$  [17, eq. (8)]. The CDF of  $w_1$  is

$$F_{\rm SC}(x) = \Pr\{w_1 \le x\} = F_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{12}$$

where  $F_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x})$  is the joint CDF of the diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{W}$ , and  $\mathbf{x} = \{\underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{N_{\mathrm{R}}}\}$ . Consequently, the PDF  $f_{\mathrm{SC}}(x) =$ 

 $dF_{\rm SC}(x)/dx$ . We evaluate  $P_{\rm aver_{SC}}$  by applying the GLQ method (9).  $C_{\rm SC}$  and  $C_{\rm MRC}$  are evaluated via a numerical integration on the basis of known  $f_{\rm SC}(x)$  and  $f_{\rm MRC}(x)$ .

## C. Numerical Results

In Figs. 1–3, we present link performance metrics (obtained via Monte Carlo simulations) and bounds for TB MIMO MRC systems evaluated by using (3) (as it is described in

sub-Sections III. A-B), and on the basis of Monte Carlo simulations. The numerical results are given for radio channels with i.i.d. GG branches (GG channels) and for radio channels with correlated improper normally distributed branches (CIN channels) described in sub-Section III. B. In Fig. 1, the OP is shown versus the normalized threshold  $\gamma/\bar{\gamma}$  for a few antenna configurations. In Fig. 2, estimates of GG and CIN channel capacities are shown for  $N_{\rm T} = 2$  and  $N_{\rm R} = 2$ . The estimates of  $C_{\rm SC}$  have been obtained via Monte Carlo simulations and via numerical evaluations of the corresponding equations for the ergodic capacity. A few estimates evaluated analytically for low SNR (11) are also reported. Finally, in Fig. 3, BER estimates are shown for the coherent detection of binary phase shift keying (BPSK) with  $N_{\rm T} = 2$  and  $N_{\rm R} = 2$ .

Although bounds shown in Figs. 1–3 for GG channels are approximate (since they were obtained via the approximation [19]), they agree well with our simulation results in all tested cases. Our numerical results also show that the tightness of bounds (3) depends on all factors involved into the evaluation. The type and parameters of fading distribution as well as antenna configurations affect the tightness.

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

The statistical distribution of the maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the Gramian matrix is of interest in various MIMO applications. The exact distributions of  $\lambda_1$  are, however, known only for the Rayleigh and Rice models. In this letter, we overcome this uncertainty and present link performance bounds for TB MIMO MRC systems based solely on the multivariate distribution of diagonal elements of the Gramian matrix. Depending on the concrete fading scenario, the presented technique provides either closed-form expressions or those given in the single-integral form. But in any case, the method allows avoiding time-consuming computer simulations.

### REFERENCES

 M. Kang and M.-S. Alouini, "Largest eigenvalue of complex Wishart matrices and performance analysis of MIMO MRC systems," *IEEE Journal Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 21, pp. 418–426, Apr. 2003.



Fig. 1. Outage probability versus normalized threshold  $\gamma/\bar{\gamma}$ . Solid lines represent numerical estimates of outage probability, dotted lines represent bounds (3), and single points report simulation results.



Fig. 2. Capacity per unit bandwidth,  $N_{\rm T} = 2$  and  $N_{\rm R} = 2$ . Solid lines represent numerical estimates of capacity, dotted lines represent bounds (3), and single points report simulation results. Squares represent estimates for low SNR (11).

- [2] J. Wishart, "The generalized product moment distribution in samples from a normal multivariate population," *Biometrica*, vol. 20A, pp. 32– 52, 1928.
- [3] A. Zanella, M. Chiani, and M. Z. Win, "On the marginal distribution of the eigenvalues of Wishart matrices," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 57, pp. 1050–1060, April 2009.
- [4] S. Jin, M. R. McKay, X. Gao, and I. B. Collings, "MIMO multichannel beamforming: SER and outage using new eigenvalue distributions of complex non-central Wishart matrices," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 56, pp. 424–434, March 2009.
- [5] M. D. Yacoub, "The κ-μ distribution and the η-μ distribution," *IEEE Antennas and Propag. Mag.*, vol. 49, pp. 68–81, Feb. 2007.
- [6] M. D. Yacoub, "The α μ distribution: a physical fading model for the Stacy distribution," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 56, pp. 27–34, Jan. 2007.
- [7] A. Horn, "Doubly Stochastic Matrices and the Diagonal of a Rotation Matrix," Amer. J. Math., vol. 76, no. 12, pp. 620–630, 1954.
- [8] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed., Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- [9] N. Y. Ermolova, "Useful integrals for performance evaluation of communication systems in generalized η – μ and κ – μ fading channels," *IET Commun.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 303–308, Feb. 2009.



Fig. 3. Bounds on the BER performance, BPSK modulation format,  $N_{\rm T} = 2$  and  $N_{\rm R} = 2$ . Solid lines represent numerical BER estimates, dotted lines represent bounds (3), and single points report simulation results.

- [10] N. Y. Ermolova and O. Tirkkonen, "The  $\eta \mu$  fading distribution with integer values of  $\mu$ ," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1976–1982, June 2011.
- [11] H. A. David, Order Statistics, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1981.
- [12] K. P. Peppas, F. Lazarakis, T. Zervos, A. Alexandridis, and K. Dangakis, "Error performance of digital modulation schemes with MRC diversity reception over η – μ fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 4974–4980, Oct. 2009.
- [13] K. P. Peppas, F. Lazarakis, T. Zervos, A. Alexandridis, and K. Dangakis, "Sum of non-identical independent squared η – μ variates and applications in the performance analysis of DS-CDMA systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 2718–2723, Sept. 2010.
- [14] D. B. da Costa and M. D. Yacoub, "Outage performance of two-hop AF relaying systems with co-channel interferers over Nakagami-*m* fading," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 980–982, Sept. 2011.
- [15] M.-S. Alouini and M. K. Simon, "Performance of coherent receivers with hybrid SC/MRC over Nakagami-m fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Techn.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1155–1164, July 1999.
- [16] V. Asghari, D. B. da Costa and S. Aissa, "Symbol error probability of rectangular QAM in MRC systems with correlated  $\eta \mu$  fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Techn.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1497–1503, March 2010.
- [17] N. Y. Ermolova and O. Tirkkonen, "Multivariate η-μ fading distribution with constant correlation model," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 454–457, April 2012.
- [18] N. Y. Ermolova and O. Tirkkonen, "Distribution of diagonal elements of a general complex central Wishart matrix," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1373–1376, Sept. 2012.
- [19] D. B. da Costa, M. D. Yacoub, and J. C. S. Santos Filho, "Highly accurate closed-form approximations to the sum of α – μ variates and applications," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 3301– 3306, Sept. 2008.
- [20] V. A. Aalo, G. P. Efthymoglou, T. Piboongungon, and C. D. Iskander, "Performance of diversity receivers in generalized gamma fading channels," *IET Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 341-347, 2007.
- [21] P. S. Bithas, G. P. Efthymoglou, and N. C. Sagias, "Spectral efficiency of adaptive transmission and selection diversity on generalised fading channels," *IET Commun.*, vol. 4, no. 17, pp. 2058–2064, 2010.
- [22] P. S. Bithas, N. C. Sagias, and P. T. Mathiopoulos, "GSC diversity receivers over generalized–gamma fading channels," *IEEE Commun. Lett*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 964–966, Dec. 2007.
- [23] M. K Simon and M.-S. Alouini, Digital communication over fading channels, Wiley, New York, 2005.
- [24] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, 9th ed., New York: Dover, 1972.
- [25] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1986.